Lead Questions for Member Interviews

Note: Thank you for agreeing to an interview. We will review your survey response and identify any areas that may need clarification. In addition, we propose to discuss the following questions listed below. The interview would take be about 45 minutes.

- 1. What benefits does your organization sees in its membership in the Initiative? Which benefits are most important to you?
- 2. According to the Strategy the members should regularly report on how: (i) activities and outputs are used in their work ('Learning with Action and Measurable Outcomes' principle); and (ii) the knowledge products and lessons learned in capacity building seminars were disseminated and shared in their respective countries. However, the review indicates that this has seldom been done. Can you please share your views on difficulties faced in reporting on results of the Initiative's activities and disseminating information to other stakeholders in the country? How can this can be done better?
- 3. The 3-Year Work Program for the Initiative normally includes: annual steering group meeting + regional capacity building seminar; networking; peer-learning through country self-reporting; and thematic policy studies. In your views what should the Initiative do more of (if funds available) and what it should do less (if funding declines) and why?
- 4. Staff turnover is normal and happens a lot in this Initiative also as Focal Point persons change. What can be done to ensure continuity of institutional memory at member country level when Focal Point persons change?
- 5. The strategy is now about 10 years old. There are changes in the anti-corruption landscape following the initiatives such as Sustainable Development Goals (specially SDG16), Open Government Partnership, IMF's framework for enhanced engagement in governance. It is appropriate that the Initiative strategy is being updated with establishment of Public Integrity Network (PIN), Law Enforcement Network (LEN) and exploring a Business Integrity Network. What are your views on these changes? What other changes that you would suggest? What has to change in terms of member engagement and Initiative resources for the initiative to deliver the changes that you recommend?
- 6. In your opinion, should the Initiative be continued? Why?